

**MINUTES OF MEETING  
MOODY RIVER ESTATES  
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

A Regular Meeting of the Moody River Estates Community Development District's Board of Supervisors was held on **Thursday, May 16, 2013 at 1:00 p.m.**, at the **Clubhouse at Moody River Estates, 3050 Moody River Blvd., North Fort Myers, Florida 33903.**

**Present and constituting a quorum were:**

Ilse Mayer	Chair
Kathy Shea ( <i>via telephone</i> )	Vice Chair
Terry Pye	Assistant Secretary
Jeff Berry	Assistant Secretary

**Also present were:**

Chuck Adams	District Manager
Cleo Crismond	Assistant Regional Manager
Mike Eckert ( <i>via telephone</i> )	District Counsel
Carl Barraco	District Engineer
Rebecca Sarver	Secretary/Treasurer, Moody River Estates Board of Directors
John Teckorus	Resident
Tom Ferguson	Resident
Norman Massey	Resident
Mike Geml	Resident
Dan Miracle	Resident
Tamera Greider	Resident
June Geml	Resident

**FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Call to Order/Roll Call**

Mr. Adams called the meeting to order at 1:04 p.m., and noted, for the record, that Supervisors Mayer, Berry and Pye were present, in person. Supervisor Shea was attending via telephone. One (1) seat remains vacant.

**SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Acceptance of Resignation from  
Supervisor Rolfsrud; Consideration of  
Replacement Candidate to Fill Unexpired  
Term (Seat 4)**

Mr. Adams presented Supervisor Rolfsrud’s resignation letter, effective March 27, 2013, for the Board’s consideration.

**On MOTION by Mr. Pye and seconded by Ms. Mayer with all in favor, the resignation of Supervisor Rolfsrud from Seat 4, term expires November, 2016, was accepted.**

Mr. Adams explained that the Board has an obligation to appoint a replacement for the remainder of the term. He advised that Seat 4 is a four (4)-year landowner elected seat and the appointee must be a citizen of the United States and of the State of Florida.

Mr. Adams asked the Board for nominations for Seat 4. Mr. Berry nominated Mr. Frank Reynolds. No other nominations were made.

**On MOTION by Mr. Berry and seconded by Mr. Pye with all in favor, the appointment of Mr. Frank Reynolds to Seat 4, term expires November, 2016, was approved.**

- A. Administration of Oath of Office to Newly Appointed Supervisor (*the following to be provided in a separate package*)**
  - i. Guide to Sunshine Amendment and Code of Ethics for Public Officers and Employees**
  - ii. Membership, Obligations and Responsibilities**
  - iii. Financial Disclosure Forms**
  - iv. Form 1: Statement of Financial Interests**
  - v. Form 1X: Amendment to Form 1, Statement of Financial Interests**
  - vi. Form 1F: Final Statement of Financial Interests**

Mr. Adams noted that Mr. Reynolds was not present; thus, administration of the Oath of Office will take place at the next meeting.

**THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Consideration of Resolution 2013-8,  
Electing Officers of the District**

Mr. Adams presented Resolution 2013-8 for the Board’s consideration. He explained that, following an election or appointment, the Board is required to consider its slate of officers. Mr. Adams stated that, currently, Ms. Mayer serves as Chair, Ms. Shea serves as Vice Chair and

the remaining Board Members serve as Assistant Secretaries. Mr. Adams serves as Secretary and Mr. Wrathell serves as Treasurer and as an Assistant Secretary. Mr. Adams indicated that the Board may reorganize or retain its current slate of officers and appoint Mr. Reynolds as an Assistant Secretary.

Mr. Adams requested nominations.

Mr. Pye nominated the current slate of officers. No other nominations were made.

**On MOTION by Mr. Berry and seconded by Mr. Pye, with all in favor, Resolution 2013-8, Electing Officers of the District, as nominated, was adopted.**

**FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Consideration of Resolution 2013-9, Approving Proposed Budgets for Fiscal Year 2013/2014 and Setting a Public Hearing Thereon Pursuant to Florida Law**

Mr. Adams presented Resolution 2013-9 for the Board’s consideration. He explained that, as a governmental entity, in accordance with Florida Statutes, the District is required to approve a draft budget, each year, prior to June 15, for the purpose of setting the public hearing and distribution to local municipalities. Mr. Adams advised that the public hearing for the final adoption of the budget cannot occur earlier than 60 days from the date the proposed budget is approved. Prior to the public hearing, the proposed budget may be reviewed and revised; approval of the proposed budget does not fix the service or appropriation levels.

Mr. Adams explained that the budget is comprised of two (2) components. One (1) portion includes debt service for repayment of the bonds related to the initial infrastructure. He noted that, in this community, the bonds were primarily for the stormwater management system and acquisition of lands, interconnecting pipes, control structures and certain utilities, which were passed on to Lee County. Mr. Adams advised that debt service is similar to a mortgage, with principal and interest components. The District makes payments on the property owners’ behalf, each year, with an interest only payment on November 1 and interest and principal payment on May 1.

Mr. Adams stated that the other component of the budget is operations, for administrative and professional, as well as the physical operations of the District. The physical operations

include operation and maintenance of the stormwater management system, which includes the lakes, wetlands and interconnecting pipes. Mr. Adams pointed out that the District entered into a Facilities Maintenance Agreement with the Master Association to manage certain perimeter improvements, such as landscaping and a well field.

Mr. Adams noted that the proposed budget does not anticipate any significant changes, year over year, in terms of appropriation levels, with the exception of “other contractual”, under “Water management”. Under “Professional and admin”, he observed that there were minor adjustments, based upon current year actuals. With regard to “legal – litigation”, Mr. Adams indicated that \$10,000 was budgeted for the current year; however, \$14,679 was expended through the end of March. He stated that the primary reduction is in this category, as no significant legal issues are anticipated, going forward.

Referring to “Other contractual”, under “Water management”, Mr. Adams pointed out that this line item increased by \$10,000. On Page 4, he noted that “Pipes/inlets/lake bank” increased by \$10,000, over the current year, to address lake bank erosion issues that were identified last summer. Going forward, funds will be budgeted until lake bank erosion repair requirements are completed. Mr. Adams stated that the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) and Lee County recognize that the District is moving forward in good faith and, as a result, are allowing the project to be completed in a multiple year program.

On Page 2, Mr. Adams noted that, in Fiscal Year 2013, operating assessments were \$308.16. With the adjustment in appropriations for the lake bank erosion program, the assessment will increase to \$329.58. Mr. Adams explained that a portion of fund balance may be used to offset the increase, bringing the assessment level down to, or slightly below, last year’s level, which would eliminate the requirement of the mailed notice process. He recommended that the Board authorize Staff to utilize fund balance to offset the assessment increase.

Mr. Berry asked if the amount budgeted for lake bank erosion is sufficient. Mr. Adams replied affirmatively and reiterated that the work is being completed in phases. Mr. Berry asked if the phasing system is adequate for D.R. Horton to complete their work. Mr. Adams pointed out that, as lots are developed and lake banks are regraded, D.R. Horton will be required to address the erosion issues as a part of regrading lot fronts along the lakes, which will reduce the District’s expenses each year.

In response to a question from Mr. John Teckorus, a resident, Mr. Adams advised that, in the next four (4) weeks, a contractor will begin repairs on the first two (2) lakes. Mr. Teckorus

voiced his understanding that the project will take about eight (8) years to complete, at two (2) lakes per year. Mr. Adams clarified that not all of the lake banks are eroded as significantly as the first two (2), which are widespread along the residential side and have substantial linear footage attached.

Referring to an email posted by the HOA with regard to the irrigation system, Mr. Berry stated that lawns are about to die as a result of the broken sprinkler system, due to the pump. Mr. Berry advised that the HOA indicated that the pump is the CDD's responsibility. Mr. Adams confirmed that the pump was assigned to the association through a Facilities Maintenance Agreement.

**On MOTION by Mr. Pye and seconded by Ms. Mayer, with all in favor, Resolution 2013-9, Approving Proposed Budgets for Fiscal Year 2013/2014 and Setting a Public Hearing for August 15, 2013 at 1:00 p.m., and authorizing utilization of fund balance to offset an assessment increase, was adopted.**

**FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Discussion/Consideration: Location of Future Board Meetings**

Mr. Eckert asked if a protocol is in place to allow members of the public to attend future Board meetings at the location being considered. Mr. Adams requested clarification of the term "protocol". Mr. Eckert asked if a Lee County Commissioner can attend the meeting if he or she does not live in the District. Mr. Adams replied affirmatively. Mr. Eckert asked if there is a gate to restrict access. Mr. Adams confirmed that there is a gate and the gatehouse will have orders to allow entry to anyone indicating that they are attending the meeting.

**On MOTION by Ms. Mayer and seconded by Mr. Berry, with all in favor, holding future Board Meetings at the Clubhouse at Moody River Estates, 3050 Moody River Blvd., North Fort Myers, Florida 33903, was approved.**

**SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Discussion: Bond Refinancing**

Mr. Eckert reported that he discussed refinancing the bonds with MBS. MBS indicated that it does not make sense, economically, for the District to refinance until May 1, 2014; however, they will continue to monitor the situation.

Mr. Adams stated that the supplemental indenture clearly indicates that May 1, 2014 is the first opportunity, without penalty, to refund the bonds. He pointed out that the current interest rate is fairly favorable, for an initial bond issue, at 5.35%. If the bonds were rated, which is not currently possible, the interest rate would be in the mid 3's; unrated bonds would be in the mid 4's. Mr. Adams advised that approximately 300 units are not constructed, on a total build out of about 850, so there is a large concentration of risk in terms of one (1) owner owning a large portion of the assessments and potentially not being able to make the assessment payments. Mr. Adams indicated that other options will be reviewed next January or February.

**SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Discussion/Update: Contractor Lake and Wetland Maintenance Activities and Lake Bank Erosion Repairs**

Mr. Adams noted concern among the residents with regard to wetland activities, particularly those that took place in early spring, around the eagle nesting area. He explained that the activities that occurred were hand tool activities; no power or heavy equipment was used. Mr. Adams indicated that, technically, the activities should not have occurred; however, because it was hand work and it was at the tail end of the season, the county does not view it as a violation issue.

Mr. Adams stated that he spoke with the county about wetland activities that take place semiannually to remove exotics and invasives that are specified by the state. To date, the two (2) months of the year in which the work is performed by the contractor were not specified. Since the contract is going to be rebid, Staff will specify two (2) months, outside of the eagle nesting periods, for the activity to take place.

With regard to lake bank erosion, Mr. Adams reported that, last year, while going through the permit transfer process and completing an inventory of the lake banks, several areas were identified that exceeded a 9" vertical drop. He explained that, if an area along the edge of a lake has a greater than a 9" vertical drop, the District is out of compliance and is required to fill the area and restore it. SFWMD realizes that they are partially responsible because it was discovered as a part of the permit transfers and, as a result, they are very receptive to completing the work in phases.

Mr. Adams advised that, this year, Lakes 1 and 2 will be addressed. He reiterated that, as development occurs in other areas and lots are cleared, the District will have the benefit of a

builder finishing off the lots; if there is a drop of more than 9", the builder will be required to level it off, at no cost to the community.

Mr. Adams explained that the contractor will recover soil from the bottom of the lake and pump it into a microfiber tube. The water will bleed through the tube, leaving only soil. Once the tube is filled and the soil is dry, the contractor will slice the top, break it open and rake off the soil. Turf will be installed down to the control elevation, which is the designed high water level of the lake. Mr. Adams indicated that, on the water's edge, below the control elevation, beneficial aquatic plants, which are approved by the agencies, will be planted. In the installation phase, the formula is one (1) plant per linear foot, with the long-term requirement being 80% survivorship on the littoral shelf itself. Mr. Adams voiced his opinion that plant selection is part of the reason that some of the lakes fall short of that requirement. The lakes in the community draw down significantly and some of the plant selections, on the upper side of the shelf, cannot take being dried out for an extended period of time.

Mr. Adams stated that, on the upper shelf, Bacopa will be planted, which is a low growing ground cover that grows in a mass; it is very thick and has a solid root mass, which is critical. Below the upper 4' to 6' feet, more of the vertical growing plants will be introduced, such as Golden Canna, Arrowhead, Purple Pickerelweed, Blue Flag Iris and Spike Rush. Mr. Adams explained that the benefits of these plants are erosion control and improved water quality. They also provide forage for fish and wading birds. Mr. Adams advised that he made a commitment to Lee County that, when Lakes 1 and 2 are repaired, Lake 9, which has the eagle's nest, will also be planted.

Mr. Berry inquired about responsibility for the eagles in the forest preserve and who owns the land. Mr. Adams indicated that the CDD controls the land and has the title. Lee County verified that the CDD owns the property. Mr. Barraco noted that those areas are part of the requirement of the state Environmental Resource permit, which the District is responsible for. Mr. Berry asked if the District is in compliance. Mr. Adams replied yes, according to Lee County's inspections. The only thing they asked is for the District to time the activity in the wetland differently. With regard to lake bank erosion, the county inspected every lake based upon the resident concerns they received. The county understands that the District is completing the repairs in a phased program and requested photos of the finished product, as the repairs are completed each year.

Referring to the bald eagle nesting plan, Mr. Berry voiced his opinion that the District is held to a different standard for things such as fishery and water quality. Mr. Adams noted the concern regarding the lack of fish, particularly in Lake 2. He commented that, when there are predatory birds in large populations, eventually, the fish disappear. Mr. Adams advised that the District has the ability to stock the lakes with sport fish, which the eagles are after, for a minimal cost. He stated that, after reviewing the nesting plan, he feels that there are number of standards, on private property, that are not being kept up; however; those are out of the District's control. The District's responsibilities are the lake, the preserve and the water quality.

**EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Approval of March 21, 2013 Regular Meeting Minutes**

Mr. Adams presented the March 21, 2013 Regular Meeting Minutes and asked for any additions, deletions or corrections.

**On MOTION by Ms. Mayer and seconded by Mr. Berry, with all in favor, the March 21, 2013 Regular Meeting Minutes, as presented, were approved.**

**NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Other Business**

There being no other business to discuss, the next item followed.

**TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Staff Reports**

**A. Attorney**

Mr. Eckert recalled that, at previous Board meetings, he advised the Board that several bills were being considered by the Florida Legislature that could have an impact on the District, one of which was a bill to require the consolidation of certain special districts. The bill did not pass. Mr. Eckert indicated that a bill was proposed to raise the limits of liability for all local governments, including cities, counties and CDDs, which would have had a significant impact on the insurance rates. That bill did not pass either. Mr. Eckert noted that, while it may not be a concern for this year, it will be presented next year, for discussion.

Mr. Eckert reported that a bill was passed that provides for more public input opportunities at public board meetings. He advised that there may be a need, under the new law,



for the District to provide a different agenda or sign up process to allow the public to speak to individual items. Once the law is reviewed, District Counsel will provide the Board with revised rules of procedure.

Mr. Eckert indicated that an ethics bill was passed that is geared towards reforming ethics procedures and requirements for state legislators; however, the definitions in the provisions of state law regarding local government officials, which include the Board of Supervisors, were changed. Mr. Eckert reiterated that, at the next meeting, proposed revisions to the rules of procedure will be presented to the Board.

**B. Engineer**

With regard to lake banks, Mr. Barraco clarified that the criteria is a 9" vertical drop and 3.5" horizontal to 1' vertical, on the slopes.

Mr. Barraco reported that he is working on the final certifications and will contact District Counsel, in this regard.

**C. Manager**

**i. Approval of Unaudited Financial Statements as of March 31, 2013**

Mr. Adams presented the Unaudited Financial Statements as of March 31, 2013. He pointed out that assessment levy collections were at 101%. He noted the amount of interest and miscellaneous revenue, year-to-date.

Mr. Adams indicated that "Professional and admin" expenses, which should be 50%, were at 55%, year-to-date, due to legal litigation and a one (1)-time insurance expense. Regarding "Water management", "Other contractual" was at 18%, year-to-date, a large portion being related to lake bank erosion and aquascaping, which will be conducted over the next month to six (6) weeks. Mr. Adams noted that, by the next meeting, the amount will be more in line with the actual year-to-date prorated expenses. He advised that utilities were on track, at 47%.

**ii. 516 Registered Voters in District as of April 15, 2013**

Mr. Adams reported that there were 516 registered voters residing within the boundaries of the District as of April 15, 2013.

**iii. NEXT MEETING DATE: June 20, 2013 at 1:00 P.M.**

Mr. Adams indicated that the next meeting is scheduled for June 20, 2013 at 1:00 p.m.; however, there may not be any business to discuss at that meeting. If that is the case, a cancellation notice will be circulated and the next meeting date will be August 15.

For the benefit of residents who were present, Mr. Adams noted that the public hearing date is August 15, 2013, at 1:00 p.m., at this location.

**ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Audience  
Requests**

**Comments/Supervisors'**

In response to a question from Mr. Teckorus, Mr. Adams advised that he believes the CDD owns the irrigation system; however, it is maintained and operated through a Facilities Maintenance Agreement with the Master Association. Mr. Teckorus asked if the CDD owns and maintains the road system. Mr. Adams indicated it does not. Mr. Teckorus asked about the pipes in the ground. Mr. Adams clarified that the pipes are collection facilities for the roadway itself and go with the road. He explained that the CDD owns the lakes and the interconnecting pipes between the lakes; if an interconnecting pipe between two (2) lakes goes under the road and there are catch boxes on the pipes, they are the CDD's responsibility; otherwise, the catch boxes go with the road and the curbing gutter and collect the water from the road and send it to the lakes.

A resident asked if the CDD owns and maintains the playground. Mr. Adams indicated it does not. The resident asked about the CDD's responsibilities. Mr. Adams stated that the CDD is primarily responsible for stormwater management; the lakes, wetlands, interconnecting pipes and control structures are its ongoing operation. The resident asked if there are CDDs that do not have that responsibility. Mr. Adams advised that the majority of the CDDs that he manages are stormwater management CDDs; however, Chapter 190 authorizes many different community infrastructure and services that the CDD can become involved in and some CDDs provide everything from security services to street sweeping.

Mr. Tom Ferguson, a resident, voiced his understanding that the pumps for the fountains are part of the CDD but the pumps for the irrigation are not. Mr. Adams indicated that the water features, which are the fountains, were transferred to the District. He explained that, at any time, the community has an opportunity to make strategic business decisions. If they wish to consider undoing the agreements and give the facility operations back to the District, it can be done. For example, the District would add management of the irrigation pumps to the budget and the assessments will be collected through the property tax bill.

Mr. Norman Massey, a resident, requested an overview of the CDD. Mr. Adams explained that, initially, CDDs are established by the sole landowner, the primary purpose being

to finance the infrastructure and to manage and operate some of the infrastructure, going forward. In this case, Colonial Homes established the district by county ordinance, as it is under 1,000 acres in size. If a district is over 1,000 acres, it must be established by rule through the state. The initial Board is appointed as a part of the petition to create the district; however, within 90 days, a landowner election is required to be held. Landowner elections are held every other year for the first six (6) years of the existence of the district, at a minimum.

Mr. Adams indicated that the owner of a unit is entitled to one (1) vote; the owner of an acre, or portion thereof, is entitled to one (1) vote. As lots are platted and the district reaches six (6) years of existence, if there are 250 qualified electors residing within the boundaries of the district, the district transitions to a general election process. In the first year of a general election, three (3) seats are up for election, two (2) of which will go to general election, meaning those who are qualified electors living within the boundaries of the district can qualify for one (1) of the open seats on the Board. Mr. Adams stated that the qualifying period is in the June/July timeframe and the election is held on the first Tuesday in November. If, during the qualifying period, no one qualifies to run against a candidate, that candidate will have the seat; however, the candidate may not take the seat until at least two (2) weeks following the November election. If someone else qualifies against a candidate for a seat, their names will be placed on the ballots of those who are registered to vote from within the boundaries of that district.

Mr. Adams advised that the Moody River Estates CDD passed the threshold several years ago and is now in a general election process. One (1) seat remains landowner elected/appointed. That seat was taken by Mr. Rolfsrud, at the last meeting, who then resigned; Mr. Reynolds was appointed to the seat today. Mr. Adams noted that, in 2016, that seat will transition out, resulting in a fully general elected board of residents who are registered to vote in Lee County and whose primary residence is within the boundaries of Moody River Estates.

With regard to the long-term operation of the District, Mr. Adams reiterated that a district is generally established to finance the infrastructure and it is generally financed with 30-year term bonds. During the course of the term of the bonds, there is an opportunity to call the bonds and, perhaps, refinance. Mr. Adams explained that the bond indentures generally require eight (8) to ten (10) years before refinancing can take place. For Moody River Estates CDD, the earliest the bonds can be refinanced is May, 2014. Mr. Adams was unsure whether the market will support refinancing at that time because the bonds already have a relatively low interest rate,

for an initial bond issue, at 5.35%. Bonds are generally refinanced if a district can save 150 to 200 basis points and the debt service reserve requirement can be reduced. Mr. Adams clarified that the debt service reserve is security for the bondholder; if a district has difficulty collecting revenues to make the bond payments, the trustee can utilize funds from the debt service reserve to make them. Reducing the debt service reserve helps to pay for the cost of issuance of a new or refinanced bond, which is about \$100,000 to \$150,000.

A resident asked Mr. Adams who hired him. Mr. Adams indicated that he works for the Board, as an independent contractor, along with District Counsel and the District Engineer, which allows a small local government to afford to have the professional staff that larger government has. He also noted that there is an assessment roll preparation staff member, a trustee to manage the debt service trust estate and an auditor. Each year, as a governmental entity, the District is required to have a third party audit that must be presented to the Board for acceptance no later than June 30 of each year.

With regard to the settlement agreement with Mood Development, Mr. Mike Geml, a resident, recalled that Mood Development's suit addressed two (2) parcels referred to as multi-family and single-family. Mr. Geml asked why the multi-family parcel was not included in the agreement, for payment. He noted that, as of this morning, approximately \$16,000 is owed for unpaid CDD assessments on that parcel and over \$280,000 owed in taxes. Mr. Geml indicated that one parcel was collected and it seems that one parcel was ignored.

Mr. Eckert advised that he would have to review the settlement agreement; however, he recalled that the assessments for all of the properties were on the tax roll. There was a question in terms of whether the one property had value that exceeded the amount of the tax liens on it and whether the property would ever be economically viable for anyone. Mr. Eckert stated that the District was never seeking any affirmative relief against the property owner; the assessments were placed on the tax roll for collection by the county tax collector. The District was sued, which resulted in the litigation expenses that were incurred. Mr. Eckert indicated that he will review the agreement and, with the Board's permission, he will provide additional background to Mr. Geml, via telephone.

Mr. Geml stated that the settlement agreement required Mood Development Corporation to reimburse the CDD \$150,000 for legal fees and court costs. He asked if the payment was received and if it was considered when preparing the Fiscal Year 2014 budget, as it is a significant amount of money. Mr. Eckert indicated that he will review the agreement and asked

Mr. Geml to contact him. Mr. Adams stated that he will provide Mr. Eckert's telephone number to Mr. Geml.

Mr. Dan Miracle, a resident, asked who is responsible for aeration of the lakes. Mr. Adams advised that the District is responsible for aeration and fountains. Mr. Miracle asked how important aeration is to the health of the lakes. Mr. Adams explained that aerators are viewed as a valuable tool; they increase the dissolved oxygen in the lake itself, which activates the natural bacteria that help to break down the organics and the impurities that enter into the lake. He noted that higher oxygen levels are very helpful to the fish, as well. Mr. Adams indicated that the diffuser stones are on the bottom of the lake and, as the bubbles rise, they create an upward vortex, pulling the cooler water up from the bottom of the lake and mixing it with the warmer upper layer, which helps to keep the overall water body cooler and keeps the lake from turning over.

In response to a comment from Mr. Miracle, Mr. Adams stated that, quite often, complaints are received about the sound of the aerators. He pointed out that aerators are generally no louder than a pool pump or an air conditioner; however, because they run 24/7, residents may become sensitive to the sound. Installing rubber feet underneath the aerators will help to insulate the vibration from the stone that they are sitting on. There are also insulation packages that go inside the cabinets.

A resident inquired about the amount of outstanding bond debt. Mr. Adams stated that the outstanding principal, after the May payment, is \$9.4 million and the last payment date is May 1, 2036.

Ms. Tamera Greider, a resident, inquired about a dead cypress tree on the lake bank. Mr. Adams advised that it is the adjacent homeowner's or association's responsibility.


Ms. June Geml, a resident, asked who is responsible for the sidewalk outside of the community. She was informed that Lee County indicated it was the HOA's responsibility.

**TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Adjournment**

There being nothing further to discuss, the meeting adjourned.

**On MOTION by Ms. Mayer and seconded by Mr. Pye, with all in favor, the meeting adjourned at 2:12 p.m.**



---

Secretary/Assistant Secretary



---

Chair/Vice Chair