MOODY RIVER ESTATES
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT
LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA
FINANCIAL REPORT
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED
SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

### MOODY RIVER ESTATES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	2-5
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Government-Wide Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Assets	6
Statement of Activities	7
Fund Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	8
Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	
to the Statement of Net Assets	9
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances –	-
Governmental Funds	10
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in	
Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	11
Notes to the Financial Statements	12-20
Notes to the Financial Statements	12 20
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance –	
Budget and Actual – General Fund	21
Notes to Required Supplementary Information	22
The second of th	
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND	
COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL	
STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT	
AUDITING STANDARDS	23
	_3
MANAGEMENT LETTER REQUIRED BY CHAPTER 10.550 OF THE RULES	
OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA	24-26



2700 North Military Trail • Suite 350 Boca Raton, Florida 33431 (561) 994-9299 • (800) 299-4728 Fax (561) 994-5823 www.graucpa.com

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Board of Supervisors Moody River Estates Community Development District Lee County, Florida

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Moody River Estates Community Development District, Lee County, Florida (the "District") as of and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and the significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the District as of September 30, 2010, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the fiscal year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated February 15, 2011, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, and contracts, agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and important for assessing the results of our audit.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Man & Associates
February 15, 2011

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Our discussion and analysis of Moody River Estates Community Development District, Lee County, Florida ("District") provides a narrative overview of the District's financial activities for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010. Please read it in conjunction with the District's Independent Auditor's Report, basic financial statements, accompanying notes and supplementary information to the basic financial statements.

#### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- The liabilities of the District exceeded its assets at the close of the most recent fiscal year resulting in a net asset deficit balance of (\$3,027,897).
- The change in the District's total net assets in comparison with the prior year was \$86,685, an increase. The key components of the District's net assets and change in net assets are reflected in the table in the government-wide financial analysis section.
- At September 30, 2010, the District's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of \$1,264,847, an increase of \$78,381 in comparison with the prior year. A portion of fund balance is reserved for debt service and capital projects and the remainder is unreserved fund balance which is available for spending at the District's discretion.

#### **OVERVIEW OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

This discussion and analysis are intended to serve as the introduction to the District's basic financial statements. The District's basic financial statements are comprised of three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

#### **Government-Wide Financial Statements**

The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the District's finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business.

The statement of net assets presents information on all the District's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net assets. Over time, increases or decreases in net assets may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the District is improving or deteriorating.

The statement of activities presents information showing how the government's net assets changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net assets are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods.

The government-wide financial statements include all governmental activities that are principally supported by special assessments. The District does not have any business-type activities. The governmental activities of the District include the general government (management) and maintenance functions.

#### **Fund Financial Statements**

A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The District, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. The District has one fund category: governmental funds.

#### **OVERVIEW OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

#### **Governmental Funds**

Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a District's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the District's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

The District maintains three individual governmental funds. Information is presented separately in the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the general fund, debt service fund and capital projects fund. The general and debt service funds are considered to be major funds and the capital projects fund is considered to be a non-major fund.

The District adopts an annual appropriated budget for its general fund. A budgetary comparison schedule has been provided for the general fund to demonstrate compliance with the budget.

#### Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements.

#### **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS**

As noted earlier, net assets may serve over time as a useful indicator of an entity's financial position. In the case of the District, liabilities exceeded assets at the close of the most recent fiscal year.

Key components of the District's net assets are reflected in the following table:

#### NET ASSETS SEPTEMBER 30,

	 2010	 2009
Assets, excluding capital assets	\$ 1,486,782	\$ 1,415,009
Capital assets, net of depreciation	5,754,182	5,925,930
Total assets	7,240,964	7,340,939
Liabilities, excluding long-term liabilities	242,062	244,514
Long-term liabilities	10,026,799	10,210,907
Total liabilities	10,268,861	10,455,421
Net Assets		
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	(4,068,422)	(4,072,602)
Restricted for debt service	1,002,719	897,262
Unrestricted	37,806	60,758
Total net assets (deficit)	\$ (3,027,897)	\$ (3,114,582)

A portion of the District's net assets reflects its investment in capital assets (e.g. land, land improvements, and infrastructure); less any related debt used to acquire those assets that is still outstanding. These assets are used to provide services to residents; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. Although the District's investment in capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

#### **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (Continued)**

The restricted portion of the District's net assets represents resources that are subject to external restrictions on how they may be used. The remaining balance of unrestricted net assets may be used to meet the District's other obligations.

The District's net assets increased during the most recent fiscal year. The majority of the increase represents the extent to which program revenues exceeded the cost of operations and depreciation expense.

Key elements of the change in net assets are reflected in the following table:

### CHANGES IN NET ASSETS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR END SEPTEMBER 30,

	2010	2009		
Revenues:				
Program revenues				
Charges for services	\$ 1,093,039	\$	1,068,706	
Operating grants and contributions	-		4,839	
Capital grants and contributions	-		6,912	
General revenues				
Unrestricted investment earnings	 675		484	
Total revenues	1,093,714		1,080,941	
Expenses:	 			
General government	188,520		132,910	
Infrastructure and maintenance costs	266,022		231,063	
Interest	 552,487		561,916	
Total expenses	1,007,029		925,889	
Change in net assets	 86,685		155,052	
Net assets (deficit) beginning	 (3,114,582)		(3,269,634)	
Net assets (deficit) ending	\$ (3,027,897)	\$	(3,114,582)	

As noted above and in the statement of activities, the cost of all governmental activities during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 was \$1,007,029. The costs of the District's activities were primarily funded by program revenues. Program revenues, comprised primarily of assessments, increased during the fiscal year as a result of an increase in budgeted and levied fiscal year 2010 assessments. In total, expenses, including depreciation, increased in comparison with the prior fiscal year. The majority of the increase was the result of the legal cost associated with a lawsuit involving a landowner in the District. More detailed information about the District's litigation is presented in the notes of the financial statements.

#### GENERAL BUDGETING HIGHLIGHTS

An operating budget was adopted and maintained by the governing board for the District pursuant to the requirements of Florida Statutes. The budget is adopted using the same basis of accounting that is used in preparation of the fund financial statements. The legal level of budgetary control, the level at which expenditures may not exceed budget, is in the aggregate. Any budget amendments that increase the aggregate budgeted appropriations must be approved by the Board of Supervisors. The general fund budget for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 was amended to increase revenues by \$5,837, increase appropriations by \$45,162, and increase use of funds by \$39,325. The increase in revenues is primarily the result of greater than anticipated assessments being collected due to fewer people taking advantage of the discount for early payment than expected and the increase in appropriations is primarily due to the additional legal costs incurred related to a lawsuit involving a landowner. Actual general fund expenditures did not exceed appropriations for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010.

#### CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

#### Capital Assets

At September 30, 2010, the District had \$6,360,397 invested in land and infrastructure. In the government-wide financial statements, depreciation of \$606,215 has been taken, which resulted in a net book value of \$5,754,182. More detailed information about the District's capital assets is presented in the notes of the financial statements.

#### Capital Debt

At September 30, 2010, the District had \$10,050,000 in Bonds outstanding for its governmental activities. No new debt was issued during the current year, and the District had no immediate need to issue additional debt. More detailed information about the District's capital debt is presented in the notes of the financial statements.

#### ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGETS AND OTHER EVENTS

The District does not anticipate any major projects or significant changes to its infrastructure maintenance program for fiscal year 2011. In addition, it is anticipated that the general operations of the District will remain fairly constant.

#### Contacting the District's Financial Management

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, land owners, customers, investors and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances and to demonstrate the District's accountability for the financial resources it manages and the stewardship of the facilities it maintains. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the Moody River Estates Community Development District's Finance Department at 6131 Lyons Road, Suite 100, Coconut Creek, Florida, 33073.

# MOODY RIVER ESTATES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

 overnmental Activities
\$ 55,837
1,227,041
203,904
1,801,489
 3,952,693
7,240,964
18,031
224,031
,
185,000
9,841,799
10,268,861
(4,068,422)
1,002,719
37,806
\$ (3,027,897)

# MOODY RIVER ESTATES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

					Ν	let (Expense)
				Program		Revenue and
				Revenues	Chan	ges in Net Assets
				Charges		
				for	(	Governmental
Functions/Programs	E	xpenses		Services		Activities
Primary government:						
Governmental activities:						
General government	\$	188,520	\$	188,520	\$	-
Maintenance and operations		266,022		69,328		(196,694)
Interest on long-term debt		552,487		835,191		282,704
Total governmental activities		1,007,029		1,093,039		86,010
	Gene	eral revenue	s:			
	Uni	restricted inv	/est	ment earnings		675
		Total gener	al re	evenues		675
	Change in net assets					86,685
	Net assets (deficit) - beginning					(3,114,582)
	Net a	issets (defic	it) -	ending	\$	(3,027,897)

See notes to the financial statements

# MOODY RIVER ESTATES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

	Non-Major							
	Major Funds					Fund	Total	
			С	)ebt	C	Capital	Go	overnmental
		Seneral	Se	rvice	P	rojects		Funds
ASSETS								
Cash	\$	55,837	\$	-	\$	-	\$	55,837
Investments		-	1,2	26,750		291		1,227,041
Total assets	\$	55,837	\$1,2	26,750	\$	291	\$	1,282,878
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES Liabilities:								
Accounts payable	\$	18,031	\$	-	\$	-	\$	18,031
Total liabilities		18,031		-		-		18,031
Fund balances: Reserved for:			4.0	.00 750				4 000 750
Debt service		-	1,2	26,750		-		1,226,750
Capital projects		-		-		291		291
Unreserved, reported in: General fund		27 006						27.006
		37,806		-		-		37,806
Total fund balances		37,806	1,2	26,750		291		1,264,847
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$	55,837	\$1,2	26,750	\$	291	\$	1,282,878

## MOODY RIVER ESTATES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA

# RECONCILIATION OF THE BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

Fund balance - governmental funds		\$	1,264,847
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net assets are different because:			
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore, are not reported as assets in the governmental funds. The statement of net assets includes those capital assets, net of any accumulated depreciation, in the net assets of the government as a whole.  Cost of capital assets  Accumulated depreciation	6,360,397 (606,215)		5,754,182
Bond issuance costs are not financial resources and, therefore are not reported as assets in the governmental funds. The statements of net assets includes these costs, net of amortization.  Bond issuance costs  Accumulated amortization	248,392 (44,488)		203,904
Liabilities not due and payable from current available resources are not reported as liabilities in the governmental fund statements. All liabilities, both current and long-term, are reported in the government-wide financial statements.  Accrued interest payable Bonds payable Bond discount, net of accumulated amortization	(224,031) (10,050,000) 23,201	(	10,250,830)
Net assets of governmental activities		\$	(3,027,897)

## MOODY RIVER ESTATES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA

# STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

		Major	Funds		n-Major Fund	Total		
	General		Debt Service	Capital Projects		Go	vernmental Funds	
REVENUES								
Assessments	\$	257,848	835,191	\$	-	\$	1,093,039	
Interest and other revenue		675			-		675	
Total revenues		258,523	835,191		-		1,093,714	
EXPENDITURES								
Current:								
General government		187,101	1,419		-		188,520	
Maintenance and operations  Debt Service:		94,374	-		-		94,374	
Principal		-	185,000		-		185,000	
Interest		-	547,439		-		547,439	
Total expenditures		281,475	733,858		-		1,015,333	
Net change in fund balances		(22,952)	101,333		-		78,381	
Fund balances - beginning		60,758	1,125,417		291		1,186,466	
Fund balances - ending	\$	37,806	\$ 1,226,750	\$	291	\$	1,264,847	

### MOODY RIVER ESTATES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA

## RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds	\$ 78,381
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:	
Depreciation of capital assets is not recognized in the governmental fund statements but is reported as an expense in the statement of activities.	(171,648)
Amortization of deferred charges is not recognized in the governmental fund statement but is reported as an expense in the statement of activities.	(9,172)
Repayments of long-term liabilities are reported as expenditures in the governmental fund statement but such repayments reduce liabilities in the statement of net assets and are eliminated in the statement of activities.	185,000
The change in accrued interest on long-term liabilities between the current and prior fiscal year is recorded in the statement of activities but not in the fund financial statements.	4,124
Change in net assets of governmental activities	\$ 86,685

## MOODY RIVER ESTATES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### NOTE 1 - NATURE OF ORGANIZATION AND REPORTING ENTITY

#### **Reporting Entity**

Moody River Estates Community Development District ("District") was created on November 15, 2004 by Ordinance 04-20 of the Lee County, Florida, pursuant to the Uniform Community Development District Act of 1980, otherwise known as Chapter 190, Florida Statutes. The Act provides among other things, the power to manage basic services for community development, power to borrow money and issue bonds, and to levy and assess non-ad valorem assessments for the financing and delivery of capital infrastructure.

The District was established for the purposes of financing and managing the acquisition, construction, maintenance and operation of a portion of the infrastructure necessary for community development within the District.

The District is governed by the Board of Supervisors ("Board"), which is composed of five members. The Supervisors are elected by the owners of the property within the District. The Board of Supervisors of the District exercise all powers granted to the District pursuant to Chapter 190, Florida Statutes.

The Board has the responsibility for:

- Assessing and levying assessments.
- 2. Approving budgets.
- 3. Exercising control over facilities and properties.
- 4. Controlling the use of funds generated by the District.
- 5. Approving the hiring and firing of key personnel.
- 6. Financing improvements.

The financial statements were prepared in accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") Statement 14, and Statement 39, an amendment of GASB Statement 14. Under the provisions of those standards, the financial reporting entity consists of the primary government, organizations for which the District Board of Supervisors is considered to be financially accountable, and other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the District are such that, if excluded, the financial statements of the District would be considered incomplete or misleading. There are no entities considered to be component units of the District; therefore, the financial statements include only the operations of the District.

#### NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### **Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements**

The basic financial statements include both government-wide and fund financial statements.

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of activities) report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the primary government. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. *Direct expenses* are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. *Program revenues* include 1) charges to customers who purchase, use or directly benefit from goods, services or privileges provided by a given function or segment (Operating-type special assessments for maintenance and debt service are treated as charges for services.) and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Other items not included among program revenues are reported instead as *general revenues*.

#### NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement* focus and the *accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Assessments are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are to be recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources measurement focus* and the *modified accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be *available* when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures are recorded only when payment is due.

#### Assessments

Assessments are non-ad valorem assessments on benefited property within the District. Operating and maintenance assessments are based upon the adopted budget and levied annually at a public hearing of the District. Debt service assessments are levied when Bonds are issued and assessed and collected on an annual basis. The District may collect assessments directly or utilize the uniform method of collection under Florida Statutes. Direct collected assessments are due as determined by annual assessment resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors. Assessments collected under the uniform method are mailed by the County Tax Collector on November 1 and due on or before March 31 of each year. Property owners may prepay a portion or all of the debt service assessments on their property subject to various provisions in the Bond documents.

Assessments and interest associated with the current fiscal period are considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. The portion of assessments receivable due within the current fiscal period is considered to be susceptible to accrual as revenue of the current period. All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the government.

The District reports the following major governmental funds:

#### **General Fund**

The general fund is the general operating fund of the District. It is used to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

#### **Debt Service Fund**

The debt service fund is used to account for the accumulation of resources for the annual payment of principal and interest on long-term debt.

The District also reports the following non-major governmental fund:

#### Capital Projects Fund

This fund accounts for the financial resources to be used for the acquisition or construction of major infrastructure within the District.

As a general rule, the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements.

#### NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation (Continued)

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the government's policy to use restricted resources first for qualifying expenditures, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

#### Assets, Liabilities and Net Assets or Equity

#### **Restricted Assets**

These assets represent cash and investments set aside pursuant to Bond covenants.

#### **Deposits and Investments**

The District's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand and demand deposits.

The District has elected to proceed under the Alternative Investment Guidelines as set forth in Section 218.415 (17) Florida Statutes. The District may invest any surplus public funds in the following:

- a) The Local Government Surplus Trust Funds, or any intergovernmental investment pool authorized pursuant to the Florida Interlocal Cooperation Act;
- b) Securities and Exchange Commission registered money market funds with the highest credit quality rating from a nationally recognized rating agency;
- c) Interest bearing time deposits or savings accounts in qualified public depositories;
- d) Direct obligations of the U.S. Treasury.

Securities listed in paragraph c and d shall be invested to provide sufficient liquidity to pay obligations as they come due. In addition, surplus funds may be deposited into certificates of deposit which are insured and any unspent Bond proceeds are required to be held in investments as specified in the Bond Indenture.

The District records all interest revenue related to investment activities in the respective funds and reports investments at fair value.

#### **Inventories and Prepaid Items**

Inventories are recorded as expenditures when consumed rather than when purchased.

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items in both government-wide and fund financial statements.

#### Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include property, plant and equipment, and infrastructure assets (e.g., roads, sidewalks and similar items) are reported in the government activities columns in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the government as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$5,000 (amount not rounded) and an estimated useful life in excess of two years. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets lives are not capitalized. Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed.

Property, plant and equipment of the District are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Assets Years
Infrastructure 20 - 40

#### NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### Assets, Liabilities and Net Assets or Equity (Continued)

#### Capital Assets (Continued)

In the governmental fund financial statements, amounts incurred for the acquisition of capital assets are reported as fund expenditures. Depreciation expense is not reported in the governmental fund financial statements.

#### **Deferred Charges**

In a prior year, in connection with the issuance of certain debt, the District incurred costs totaling \$248,392. In the government-wide financial statements, that amount has been capitalized and amortized over the estimated life of the Bonds. At September 30, 2010, the District reported accumulated amortization of \$44,488. In addition, the discount of \$32,130 is being amortized of the estimated life of the Bonds. Accumulated amortization was \$8,929 at September 30, 2010.

#### **Deferred Revenue**

Governmental funds report deferred revenue in connection with receivables for revenues that are not considered to be available to liquidate liabilities of the current period. Governmental funds also defer revenue recognition in connection with resources that have been received, but not yet earned.

#### Long-Term Obligations

In the government-wide financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the statement of net assets. Bond premiums and discounts, as well as issuance costs, are deferred and amortized ratably over the life of the Bonds using the straight-line method, which does not result in a material difference from the effective interest method. Bonds payable are reported net of applicable premiums or discounts.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize premiums and discounts, as well as issuance costs, during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

#### Fund Equity/Net Assets

In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report reservations of fund balance for amounts that are not available for appropriation or are legally restricted by outside parties for use for a specific purpose. Designations of fund balance represent tentative management plans that are subject to change.

Net assets in the government-wide financial statements are categorized as invested in capital assets, net of related debt, restricted or unrestricted. Invested in capital assets, net of related debt represents net assets related to infrastructure and property, plant and equipment, net of any related debt. Restricted net assets represent the assets restricted by the District's Bond covenants.

#### **Other Disclosures**

#### **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### **NOTE 3 – BUDGETARY INFORMATION**

#### **Budgetary Information**

The District is required to establish a budgetary system and an approved Annual Budget. Annual Budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles for the general fund. All annual appropriations lapse at fiscal year end.

The District follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements.

- a) Each year, the District Manager submits to the District Board a proposed operating budget for the fiscal year commencing the following October 1.
- b) Public hearings are conducted to obtain public comments.
- c) Prior to October 1, the budget is legally adopted by the District Board.
- d) All budget changes must be approved by the District Board.
- e) The budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles.
- f) Unused appropriation for annually budgeted funds lapse at the end of the year.

#### **NOTE 4 - DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS**

#### **Deposits**

The District's cash balances were entirely covered by federal depository insurance or by a collateral pool pledged to the State Treasurer. Florida Statutes Chapter 280, "Florida Security for Public Deposits Act", requires all qualified depositories to deposit with the Treasurer or another banking institution eligible collateral equal to various percentages of the average daily balance for each month of all public deposits in excess of any applicable deposit insurance held. The percentage of eligible collateral (generally, U.S. Governmental and agency securities, state or local government debt, or corporate bonds) to public deposits is dependent upon the depository's financial history and its compliance with Chapter 280. In the event of a failure of a qualified public depository, the remaining public depositories would be responsible for covering any resulting losses.

#### <u>Investments</u>

The District's investments were held as follows at September 30, 2010:

	Fair Value	Credit Risk	Maturities
Money Market Mutual Funds - First			Weighted average of the
American Government Obligations	\$ 1,227,041	S&P AAAm	fund portfolio: 42 days
Total Investments	\$ 1,227,041		

Custodial risk – For an investment, custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the District will not be able to recover the value of the investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The District has no formal policy for custodial risk. The money market mutual funds are not evidenced by securities that exist in physical or book entry form.

Credit risk – For investments, credit risk is generally the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Investment ratings by investment type are included in the preceding summary of investments.

Concentration risk – The District places no limit on the amount the District may invest in any one issuer.

*Interest rate risk* – The District does not have a formal policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates.

However, the Bond Indenture limits the type of investments held using unspent proceeds.

#### **NOTE 5 – CAPITAL ASSETS**

Capital asset activity for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 was as follows:

	Beginning Balance	Additions	Reductions	Ending Balance
Governmental activities	•			
Capital assets, not being depreciated				
Land	\$ 1,801,489	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,801,489
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	1,801,489	-	-	1,801,489
Capital assets, being depreciated				
Infrastructure - water control and other	4,558,908	=	-	4,558,908
Total capital assets, being depreciated	4,558,908	-	-	4,558,908
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Infrastructure - water control and other	434,567	171,648	-	606,215
Total accumulated depreciation	434,567	171,648	-	606,215
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	4,124,341	(171,648)		3,952,693
Governmental activities capital assets	\$ 5,925,830	\$ (171,648)	\$ -	\$ 5,754,182

The total cost of infrastructure intended to serve the District was originally estimated at approximately \$13,400,000. Of that amount, approximately \$8,600,000 was funded from Series 2005 Bonds and the remainder was to be funded by future bond proceeds and the Developer. In the prior year, it was determined that future debt will not be issued; and, as a result, the project was modified. The infrastructure includes stormwater management, sanitary sewer, water distribution systems, and land improvements. In prior years, water and sewer infrastructure was completed and conveyed to the Lee County Utilities Department. Depreciation expense was charged to maintenance and operations.

In connection with the 2005 project, the District established a deferred cost investment account reported in the capital projects fund. In the event there are excess 2005 reserves, they are to be transferred from the debt service to the capital projects fund and used to repay funds advanced for the project or for the purchase of additional components. However, if funds in the account are not needed for that purpose they are to be transferred to the debt service fund and used to redeem outstanding related debt. At September 30, 2010, there is a balance of \$28,987 in the deferred cost account. The District has not yet determined if a liability exists for deferred costs.

#### **NOTE 6 – LONG-TERM LIABILITIES**

On July 1, 2005, the District issued \$10,710,000 of Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 2005 due on May 1, 2036 with a fixed interest rate of 5.350%. The Bonds were issued to finance the acquisition and construction of certain improvements for the benefit of the District. Interest is to be paid semiannually on each May 1 and November 1. Principal on the Bonds is to be paid serially commencing May 1, 2007 through May 1, 2036.

The Series 2005 Bonds are subject to redemption at the option of the District prior to their maturity. The Bonds are subject to extraordinary mandatory redemption prior to their selected maturity in the manner determined by the Bond Registrar if certain events occurred as outlined in the Bond Indenture.

This occurred during the current fiscal year as the District collected assessments from lot closings and prepaid \$10,000 of the Series 2005 Bonds.

#### NOTE 6 – LONG-TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

The Bond Indenture established a debt service reserve requirement as well as other restrictions and requirements relating principally to the use of proceeds to pay for the infrastructure improvements and the procedures to be followed by the District on assessments to property owners. The District agrees to levy special assessments in annual amounts adequate to provide payment of debt service and to meet the reserve requirements. The District was in compliance with the requirements of the Bond Indenture at September 30, 2010.

Changes in long-term liability activity for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 were as follows:

	Beginning Balance	Ade	ditions	R	eductions	Ending Balance	_	ue Within Ine Year
Governmental activities								
Bonds payable:								
Series 2005	\$ 10,235,000	\$	-	\$	(185,000)	\$ 10,050,000	\$	185,000
Less: Original Issue Discount	(24,093)		-		892	(23,201)		-
Total	\$ 10,210,907	\$	-	\$	(184,108)	\$ 10,026,799	\$	185,000

At September 30, 2010, the scheduled debt service requirements on the long-term debt were as follows:

	Governmental Activities								
Year ending			_						
September 30:	Principal	Interest	Total						
2011	\$ 185,000	\$ 537,675	\$ 722,675						
2012	195,000	527,778	722,778						
2013	205,000	517,345	722,345						
2014	215,000	506,378	721,378						
2015	225,000	494,875	719,875						
2016-2020	1,335,000	2,278,565	3,613,565						
2021-2025	1,740,000	1,880,793	3,620,793						
2026-2030	2,280,000	1,360,505	3,640,505						
2031-2035	2,980,000	679,450	3,659,450						
2036	690,000	36,915	726,915						
Total	\$ 10,050,000	\$ 8,820,279	\$ 18,870,279						

#### **NOTE 7 - NET ASSET (DEFICIT)**

The District has a government-wide net asset deficit of (\$3,027,897) as of September 30, 2010. There is no such deficit reflected in the governmental fund financial statements. The deficit primarily relates to the excess of the amount of long-term debt outstanding over the amount of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation. In prior years, various infrastructure improvements necessary to complete the District were financed through the issuance of long-term debt and conveyed to other entities for maintenance. Those capital assets are not included in the assets of the District; however, the long-term debt associated with those assets remains a liability of the District.

#### **NOTE 8 – DEVELOPER TRANSACTIONS**

#### **Assessments**

Colonial Homes, Inc. and Meritage Homes of Florida, Inc. (the "Developers") and Mood Development Corporation ("Mood") own a portion of land within the District; therefore, assessment revenues in the general and debt service funds include the assessments levied on those lots owned by the Developers and Mood.

#### NOTE 8 - DEVELOPER TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

#### **Assessment Adjustments**

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009, the District adopted a Revised Special Assessment Methodology in which the District made necessary corrections for assessment billings due to previous under and over assessments for particular parcels and due to the changed circumstances resulting from the lack of a second bond sale for infrastructure to serve the Moody East Parcels. The District concluded that approximately \$121,000 was under assessed to lands owned by the Developers and other land owners and that the parcels related to Moody East had been over assessed by approximately \$65,000. As a result of this, the District has adjusted the assessments related to the Moody East parcels for 2010 and future periods to adjust the amount previously over assessed. In addition, to adjust amounts previously under assessed on lands owned by Meritage Homes and land owners, the District has levied a one-time increase in fiscal year 2010 assessments of approximately \$96,000. The remaining \$25,000 due on under assessed land was paid in full by Colonial Homes during the prior fiscal year; therefore, no adjustments have been made to their fiscal year 2010 assessments.

#### **Related Legal Matters**

The land owner of the Moody East parcels (Mood Development Corp.) sued the District based on the accusation that land was improperly included within the District and it should not be subject to District assessments. If it is determined in a court of law that the Moody East parcels did not benefit from the improvements or were included erroneously as part of the District then the assessment methodology may have to be corrected and the remaining land owners, including the Developers, may have their assessments increased in order to make up the difference. In addition, the District may have to refund assessments if ordered by a court. The District is actively contesting Mood Development Corp.'s claims.

#### **NOTE 9 – CONCENTRATION**

A significant portion of the District's activity is dependent upon the continued involvement of the Developers and Mood Development Corp, the loss of which could have a material adverse effect on the District's operations.

#### **NOTE 10 – LITIGATION AND CLAIMS**

On October 22, 2009, Mood Development Corp. filed a complaint against the District in circuit court. The complaint sought a determination of declaratory judgment and supplemental relief. In addition, the complaint challenged: 1) whether land owned by Mood Development Corp. was properly included in the District, 2) the sufficiency of the Notice of Establishment, and 3) the appropriateness of the District's assessments levied against property owned by Mood Development Corp.

The District disputed the allegations contained in the complaint and in December 2010, the District was served with another complaint filed by Mood Development Corp. contesting the District's assessments. The District will actively contest Mood Development Corp.'s claims. As of the report date the case is still pending. The financial statements do not include any adjustments for this issue as the outcome is uncertain at this time.

In addition, Mood filed a Motion to Consolidate, in which they want their claims against the District to be heard in the same case as all of the Developer's litigations. This request was denied by the court.

#### **NOTE 11 - MANAGEMENT COMPANY**

The District has contracted with Wrathell, Hunt and Associates to perform management advisory services, which include financial and accounting services. Certain employees of the management company also serve as officers of the District. Under the agreement, the District compensates the management company for management, accounting, financial reporting, computer and other administrative costs.

#### **NOTE 12 – RISK MANAGEMENT**

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters. The District has obtained commercial insurance from independent third parties to mitigate the costs of these risks; coverage may not extend to all situations. There have been no settled claims from these risks over the past three years.

## MOODY RIVER ESTATES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA

# SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE – BUDGET AND ACTUAL – GENERAL FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

							W	ariance ith Final audget -
	Budgeted Amounts			Actual		Positive		
	Original Final			Final	Amounts		(Negative)	
REVENUES								
Assessments	\$	251,186	\$	257,848	\$	257,848	\$	-
Interest		1,500		675		675		-
Total revenues		252,686		258,523		258,523		-
EXPENDITURES Current:								
General government		145,686		204,274		187,101		17,173
Maintenance and operations		107,000		93,574		94,374		(800)
Total expenditures		252,686		297,848		281,475		16,373
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures		-		(39,325)		(22,952)		16,373
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES Use of funds				39,325		-		(39,325)
Net change in fund balance	\$	-	\$	-		(22,952)	\$	(22,952)
Fund balance - beginning						60,758		
Fund balance - ending					\$	37,806		

## MOODY RIVER ESTATES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

The District is required to establish a budgetary system and an approved Annual Budget for the general fund. The District's budgeting process is based on estimates of cash receipts and cash expenditures which are approved by the Board. The budget approximates a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (generally accepted accounting principles).

The legal level of budgetary control, the level at which expenditures may not exceed budget, is in the aggregate. Any budget amendments that increase the aggregate budgeted appropriations must be approved by the Board of Supervisors. The general fund budget for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 was amended to increase revenues by \$5,837, increase appropriations by \$45,162, and increase use of funds by \$39,325. The increase in revenues is primarily the result of greater than anticipated assessments being collected due to fewer people taking advantage of the discount for early payment than expected and the increase in appropriations is primarily due to the additional legal costs incurred related to a lawsuit involving a landowner. Actual general fund expenditures did not exceed appropriations for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010.





# REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Supervisors Moody River Estates Community Development District Lee County, Florida

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of Moody River Estates Community Development District, Lee County, Florida ("District") as of and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated February 15, 2011. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

#### Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended for the information of the management, Board of Supervisors of Moody River Estates Community Development District, Lee County, Florida and the Auditor General of the State of Florida and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

February 15, 2011

Dran d'Associates



2700 North Military Trail • Suite 350 Boca Raton, Florida 33431 (561) 994-9299 • (800) 299-4728 Fax (561) 994-5823 www.graucpa.com

### MANAGEMENT LETTER PURSUANT TO THE RULES OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF FLORIDA

To the Board of Supervisors Moody River Estates Community Development District Lee County, Florida

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of Moody River Estates Community Development District, Lee County, Florida ("District") as of and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated February 15, 2011.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United State. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

In addition, we have issued our Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and Compliance and Other Matters dated February 15, 2011. Disclosures in that report should be considered in conjunction with this management letter.

The purpose of this letter is to comment on those matters required by Chapter 10.550 of the Rules of the Auditor General for the State of Florida. Accordingly, in connection with our audit of the financial statements of the District, as described in the first paragraph, we report the following:

- I. Current year findings and recommendations.
- II. Status of prior year findings and recommendations.
- III. Compliance with the Provisions of the Auditor General of the State of Florida

This report is intended for the information of the management, Board of Supervisors of Moody River Estates Community Development District, Lee County, Florida and the Auditor General of the State of Florida and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

We wish to thank Moody River Estates Community Development District, Lee County, Florida and the personnel associated with it, for the opportunity to be of service to them in this endeavor as well as future engagements, and the courtesies extended to us.

February 15, 2011

Drand Associates

#### **REPORT TO MANAGEMENT**

#### I. CURRENT YEAR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

None

#### II. PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

None

#### III. COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

Unless otherwise required to be reported in the auditor's report on compliance and internal controls, the management letter shall include, but not be limited to the following:

1. A statement as to whether or not corrective actions have been taken to address findings and recommendations made in the preceding annual financial audit report.

There were no significant findings and recommendations made in the preceding annual financial audit report for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009.

2. A statement as to whether or not the local governmental entity complied with Section 218.415, Florida Statutes, regarding the investment of public funds.

The District complied with Section 218.415, Florida Statutes, regarding the investment of public funds.

3. Any recommendations to improve the local governmental entity's financial management.

There were no such matters discovered by, or that came to the attention of, the auditor, to be reported for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010.

4. Violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, or abuse, that have occurred, or are likely to have occurred, that have an effect on the financial statements that is less than material but more than inconsequential.

There were no such matters discovered by, or that came to the attention of, the auditor, to be reported, for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010.

- 5. For matters that have an inconsequential effect on the financial statements, considering both quantitative and qualitative factors, the following may be reported based on professional judgment:
  - a. Violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, fraud, illegal acts, or abuse.
  - b. Deficiencies in internal control that are not significant deficiencies

There were no such matters discovered by, or that came to the attention of, the auditor, that, in our judgment, are required to be reported, for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010.

#### **REPORT TO MANAGEMENT (Continued)**

- 6. The name or official title and legal authority of the District are disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.
- 7. The financial report filed with the Florida Department of Financial Services pursuant to Section 218.32(1)(a), Florida Statutes agrees with the September 30, 2010 financial audit report.
- 8. The District has not met one or more of the financial emergency conditions described in Section 218.503(1), Florida Statutes.
- 9. We applied financial condition assessment procedures pursuant to Rule 10.556(7) and no deteriorating financial conditions were noted. It is management's responsibility to monitor financial condition, and our financial condition assessment was based in part on representations made by management and the review of financial information provided by same.